

IN THE MATTER OF
JANELLE M. LOVE, M.D.
Respondent
License Number: D0052097

BEFORE THE
MARYLAND STATE
BOARD OF PHYSICIANS
Case Number: 2226-0080 A

ORDER FOR SUMMARY SUSPENSION OF LICENSE
TO PRACTICE MEDICINE

Disciplinary Panel A (“Panel A”) of the Maryland State Board of Physicians (the “Board”) hereby **SUMMARILY SUSPENDS** the license to practice medicine of **JANELLE M. LOVE, M.D.** (the “Respondent”), License Number D0052097, in the State of Maryland.

Panel A takes such action pursuant to its authority under Md. Code Ann., State Gov’t §10-226(c)(2)(2021 Repl. Vol. and 2025 Supp.) and Md. Code Regs. (“COMAR”) 10.32.02.08B(7), concluding that the public health, safety, or welfare imperatively requires emergency action.

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

Based on information received by, and made known to Panel A, and the investigatory information obtained by, received by and made known to and available to Panel A, including the instances described below, Panel A has reason to believe that the following facts are true:¹

¹ The statements regarding the Respondent’s conduct are intended to provide the Respondent with reasonable notice of the basis of Panel A’s action. They are not intended as, and do not necessarily represent, a complete description of the evidence, either documentary or testimonial, to be offered against the Respondent in connection with this matter.

BACKGROUND/LICENSING INFORMATION

1. At all relevant times, the Respondent was and is licensed to practice medicine in the State of Maryland. The Board initially issued the Respondent a license to practice medicine in Maryland on June 2, 1997, under License Number D0052097. The Respondent's license is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2026. The Respondent also is licensed to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

2. The Respondent currently does not possess any specialty board certifications.

3. At all relevant times, the Respondent is a solo practitioner and practices functional integrative medicine at a medical office in Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

COMPLAINT

4. The Board initiated an investigation of the Respondent after receiving a complaint on or about October 22, 2025 from an established patient (the "Patient") of the Respondent. The Patient reported that over a six-week period in 2025 the Respondent did not return multiple telephone voicemail messages requesting a consultation to review certain laboratory results and renew expiring prescription medications.² The Patient documented eight separate dates on which she left the Respondent telephone voicemail messages. The Patient also provided confirmation that on September 11, 2025, the Patient sent to the Respondent by facsimile transmission laboratory results and requested an appointment. The Patient further stated she visited the Respondent's office on one occasion

² For confidentiality reasons, the Complainant, health care facilities, health care providers, and patients referenced herein will not be identified by name. The Respondent may obtain the identity of any entity or individual referenced herein by contacting the administrative prosecutor.

in an unsuccessful attempt to make an appointment and to have her expiring prescriptions filled.

BOARD INVESTIGATION

5. As part of its investigation, the Board subpoenaed and obtained medical records for the Patient and two other individuals treated by the Respondent, received a written response from the Respondent to the allegations, conducted an under-oath interview with the Respondent and the Patient, and conducted a site visit. The Board also referred the Respondent to a Board-approved program (the “Program”) for an independent evaluation to determine her present fitness to practice medicine safely.

6. The investigation confirmed that the Patient was treated by the Respondent for various medical conditions from 2013 through 2025. This treatment included the Respondent writing and renewing prescription medications for the Patient.

7. The Respondent provided medical records for the Patient. From 2019 to 2025, the medical records document 45 separate encounters between the Patient and Respondent. The medical records document that the Respondent ordered various laboratory tests and prescribed multiple medications and supplements for the Patient.

8. Board investigators also interviewed the Patient. During her interview, the Patient stated that she first began treatment with the Respondent in April 2013. The Patient reported that the Respondent exclusively treated her for a variety of medical conditions, including prescribing medications.

9. The Patient stated she last spoke to the Respondent on June 26, 2025 regarding calling in a prescription to a pharmacy.

10. The Patient recounted that from August 20, 2025 until October 6, 2025, she “tried to contact [the Respondent] numerous times without success by leaving voicemail messages and sending her a fax as well.” The Patient stated that she wishes she “understood why [the Respondent] has taken the path that she has. I’m very disappointed that she has because I felt like I would not have seen her for almost 12 and a half years if I didn’t have the confidence in her with the things we were working on.”

11. On November 24, 2025, Board investigators conducted a site visit to the Respondent’s office. Board investigators observed that the Respondent’s office had a windowless steel door that identified the office as “Janelle M. Love, M.D./Medical Consulting.” Additional signs posted on the door stated “No Solicitors,” “Watchman on the Wall,” “Thermography is no longer offered at this location,” and “This office is NOT currently accepting new patients as of 9/1/25. Please do not leave your new patient packets under the door.”

12. Board investigators also knocked on the Respondent’s office door but no one responded. Board investigators also called the Respondent’s office phone number but went to her voicemail. The voicemail message identified the number as belonging to the Respondent and included a statement that the Respondent had stopped accepting new patients as of September 1, 2025. Additionally, the voicemail message stated that if you were a current patient, she would return your call “Lord willing, being the Lord Jesus Christ that is.” Board investigators left a voicemail message requesting a return call.

13. Board investigators later attempted to reach the Respondent on three other occasions, but the Respondent did not answer or return any of the telephone calls.

14. In written response to the Patient's complaint, the Respondent stated the following:

I was made aware on August 8, 2025 [the Patient] had placed witchcraft on myself and subsequent witchcraft has been placed upon myself and my office phone. This was very concerning and is one of the reasons my door remains locked for my safety. Regarding the sentence in the complaint "The door was locked yet her car was in the parking lot" is concerning since if a patient knows what my car looks like, when I arrive before patients and leave after patient care, a patient may be investigating license plates to see who owns which car in the parking lots.

I check phone messages and calls are returned and documented as formal phone consults in the medical chart. For my safety and the safety of my family, I have not returned any calls from someone who calls herself [the Patient] since August 8, 2025. Also, since August 8, 2025, pharmacy prescriptions have not been authorized or denied as her primary care doctor would be able to follow up with this issue.

15. In her interview with Board investigators, the Respondent stated her practice focuses on bioidentical hormone therapy, gastrointestinal issues, toxins, and cognitive concerns.

16. The Respondent stated that the Patient was a longstanding patient and she treated her for a variety of medical conditions.

17. On August 8, 2025, the Respondent stated the Holy Spirit told her the Patient placed witchcraft on her and her phone, prompting her to cease care.³

18. The Respondent acknowledged she did not notify the Patient of the termination or provide 30-days notice, and did not authorize prescription refills thereafter.

³ The Respondent admits that the Patient never discussed witchcraft, sorcery or religion with her.

19. The Respondent admitted that she heard some voicemails from the Patient about running out of medicine, but chose not to respond based on her prayers.

20. The Respondent believes her technical issues with phones and faxes started around late August 2025 and persisted into fall. The Respondent asserts she would not accept the Patient back as a patient even after believing the spell was broken in December 2025.

21. The Respondent concedes she should have provided a termination letter and 30-day period and will do so in future similar situations. The Respondent acknowledges that by definition she may have abandoned the Patient and should have handled the situation better.

22. On or about April 29, 2026, a specialist retained by the Program conducted a comprehensive evaluation of the Respondent to determine her present fitness to practice medicine safely. The specialist issued a report that concluded the Respondent is not safe to practice medicine at this time.

23. The specialist, along with the clinical team of the Program, concluded that the Respondent has a lack of insight into personal factors that may contribute to ongoing or future professional concerns including but not limited to abrupt discontinuation of patient care including those who are prescribed medications, neglecting proper termination and referral protocols.

24. The Respondent also was unable to provide to the specialist and the Program concrete steps for termination or referrals under future similar circumstances which exhibits both a lack of insight and poor judgment.

25. The Respondent further admitted to utilizing non-clinical advisors and subjective, non-clinical criteria for ongoing clinical decision making. In particular, the Respondent was asked by the specialist what she would “do if [she] received another message from the Holy Spirit that another patient was engaging in witchcraft and had put a spell on [her] or [her] practice.” In response, the Respondent stated: “I have been praying about the question you asked regarding if I had a similar situation occur again. I am proactive now in my practice and I seek the Lord to see if I should accept a particular person or not as a new patient. With this practice, I have not accepted a couple of patients and given them websites to find other functional medicine resources. I have sought the Lord to see if any of my current patients should stay under my care or be discharged. I was told to ‘keep them as patients.’ I have also sought the Lord to see if any of my current patients knowingly practice witchcraft. I was told, ‘No, they do not.’ I also asked the Lord for mercy so I can stay in compliance with all laws for appropriate patient care and discharging if needed. I heard, ‘granted.’”

26. The Respondent further elaborated that she seeks “the Lord in prayer to see if I should accept a particular patient as a new patient and if His answer is ‘No,’ I do not accept that person. I don’t always ask why; however, I do have my [non-physician] husband confirm as a second witness if I should accept or not accept a ‘potential new patient who inquired about becoming a patient.’ For confidential reasons, I do not give him the names of patients. His answer received has been the same. If I receive a ‘Yes’ to accepting a new patient(s), I accept them.”

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Based upon the foregoing Investigative Findings, Panel A of the Board concludes as a matter of law that the public health, safety, or welfare imperatively requires emergency action, and that pursuant to State Gov't § 10-226(c)(2) and COMAR 10.32.02.08B(7), the Respondent's license is summarily suspended.

ORDER

IT IS thus, by Panel A of the Board, hereby:

ORDERED that pursuant to the authority vested in Panel A by State Govt. § 10-226(c)(2)(2021 Repl. Vol. and 2025 Supp.) and COMAR 10.32.02.08B(7), the Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Maryland is hereby **SUMMARILY SUSPENDED**; and it is further

ORDERED that, during the course of the summary suspension, the Respondent shall not practice medicine in the State of Maryland; and it is further

ORDERED that in accordance with Md. Code Regs. 10.32.02.08B(7) and E, a post-deprivation hearing on the summary suspension will be held on **Wednesday, July 8, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** at the Board's offices, located at 4201 Patterson Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland, 21215-0095; and it is further

ORDERED that after the **SUMMARY SUSPENSION** hearing before Panel A, the Respondent, if dissatisfied with the result of the hearing, may request, within ten (10) days, an evidentiary hearing, such hearing to be set within thirty (30) days of the request, before an Administrative Law Judge at the Office of Administrative Hearings,

Administrative Law Building, 11101 Gilroy Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031-1301;
and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of the Order for Summary Suspension shall be filed by
Panel A immediately in accordance with Health Occ. § 14-407 (2021 Repl. Vol. and 2025
Supp.); and it is further

ORDERED that this is a disciplinary Order of Panel A, and as such, is a public
document. *See* Health Occ. §§ 1-607, 14-411.1(b)(2) and Md. Code Ann., Gen. Prov. § 4-
333(b)(6).

June 23, 2026
Date

Signature on File

Christine A. Farrelly, Executive Director
Maryland State Board of Physicians