

IN THE MATTER OF

\*

BEFORE THE

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
HEALTH CENTER

\*

MARYLAND STATE

Respondent

\*

BOARD OF PHYSICIANS

\*

Case Number: 2226-0106

\* \* \* \* \*

**CONSENT ORDER**

Based on an investigation conducted by the Maryland State Board of Physicians (the "Board"), Disciplinary Panel B of the Board has grounds to charge the **UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND HEALTH CENTER** (the "Respondent") for failing to comply with the Maryland Radiation Technology, Radiography, Nuclear Medicine Technology, and Radiology Assistance Act (the "Act"), Md. Code Ann., Health Occupations ("Health Occ.") §§ 14-5B-01 *et seq.* (2021 Repl. Vol. & 2025 Supp.). Prior to the issuance of charges, the Respondent agreed to enter into this public Consent Order, which consists of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

The pertinent provisions of the Act are as follows:

**Health Occ. § 14-5B-18.1. Employment of individuals without license prohibited.**

- (b) Except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, a hospital, related institution, alternative health system, or employer may not employ an individual practicing radiation therapy, radiography, nuclear medicine technology, or radiology assistance without a license.

- (c) *Penalty.* – A disciplinary panel may impose a civil penalty of up to \$1,000 for employing an individual without a license under this section.<sup>1</sup>

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Panel B makes the following Findings of Fact:

1. The Respondent is a department within the University of Maryland, Division of Student Affairs, located in College Park, Maryland, that provides health care to the University of Maryland community.
2. At all times relevant hereto, the Respondent employed an individual (the “Radiographer”) to practice radiography at its campus in College Park, Maryland.
3. On or about May 8, 2025, the Board received an *Application for Reinstatement of License* (the “Application”) from the Radiographer. In response to a question asking why the Radiographer was seeking a reinstatement of her license, the Radiographer answered that she “was still working.”
4. Based on the Radiographer’s response in her Application, the Board initiated an investigation of the Respondent.
5. In a follow up email dated May 9, 2025, the Board asked, among other matters, that the Radiographer provide the last date she worked at the Respondent facility and the name and number of her supervisor at the Respondent facility. In an email dated July 1, 2025, the Radiographer stated that she was still working at the Respondent facility and provided the name and telephone number of her supervisor.

---

<sup>1</sup> Effective October 1, 2025, Health Occ. § 14-5B-18.1(c) was amended to increase the civil penalty to \$5,000.

6. By email dated August 8, 2025, the Board notified the Radiographer that it had closed her Application due in part to her failure to submit to a Criminal History Records Check.

7. In furtherance of its investigation, the Board subpoenaed the Radiographer's records from the Respondent, including, but not limited to, the Radiographer's personnel file, all timekeeping records since May 1, 2025, and a list of patients the Radiographer treated since May 1, 2025. The Respondent provided the requested records on or about September 5, 2025.

8. Records from the Respondent revealed that since April 30, 2025, when the Radiographer's license to practice radiography in Maryland expired, the Radiographer continued to provide radiographic services at the Respondent facility without a valid license until August 12, 2025, when she notified her supervisor that her license had expired since April 30, 2025, after which the Respondent placed her on leave.

9. The Radiographer subsequently completed her Application, and the Board reinstated her license to practice radiography in Maryland on October 6, 2025.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, Panel B concludes as a matter of law that the Respondent employed the Radiographer to practice radiography without a license from April 30, 2025, to approximately August 12, 2025, in violation of Health Occ. § 14-5B-18.1(b).

**ORDER**

It is, on the affirmative vote of a majority of the quorum of Panel B, hereby:

**ORDERED** that, **within 30 days** from the effective date of this Consent Order, the Respondent shall pay a civil fine of **ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000)**. The payment shall be by bank certified check or money order made payable to the Maryland Board of Physicians, P.O. Box 37217, Baltimore, Maryland 21297; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the effective date of the Consent Order is the date the Consent Order is signed by the Executive Director of the Board or her designee. The Executive Director or her designee signs the Consent Order on behalf of the disciplinary panel which has imposed the terms and conditions of this Consent Order; and it is further

**ORDERED** that this Consent Order is a public document. *See* Health Occ. §§ 1-607, 14-411.1(b)(2) and Gen. Prov. § 4-333(b)(6) (2021 Repl. Vol.).

03/25/2026  
Date

***Signature on File***

Christine A. Farrelly, Executive Director  
Maryland State Board of Physicians

**CONSENT**

I, SPYRIDON MARINOPOULOS [Agent for the Respondent], acknowledge that the Respondent has had the opportunity to consult with counsel before signing this document.

By this Consent, the Respondent agrees to be bound by this Consent Order and all its terms and conditions and understand that the Board will not entertain any request for

amendments or modifications to any condition.

The Respondent is aware of the right to a hearing before the Board pursuant to COMAR 10.32.22.06 concerning the Notice issued against the Respondent. The Respondent waives this right and has elected to sign this Consent Order instead.

The Respondent acknowledges the validity and enforceability of this Consent Order as if entered after the conclusion of a formal evidentiary hearing in which the Respondent would have had the right to counsel, to confront witnesses, to give testimony, to call witnesses on the Respondent's behalf, and to all other substantive and procedural protections as provided by law. The Respondent waives those substantive and procedural protections. The Respondent acknowledges the legal authority and the jurisdiction of the Board to initiate these proceedings and to issue and enforce this Consent Order.

The Respondent voluntarily enters into and agrees to comply with the terms and conditions set forth in this Consent Order as a resolution of the pending disciplinary matters. The Respondent waives any right to contest the Findings of Fact and the Conclusions of Law set out in this Consent Order. The Respondent waives all rights to appeal this Consent Order.

On behalf of the Respondent, I sign this Consent Order without reservation, and I fully understand and comprehend the language and meaning of its terms.

3/23/2026  
Date

SPYRIDON MARINOPOULOS  
Agent for the Respondent (printed name)

# Signature on File

Agent for the Respondent (signature)

## NOTARY

STATE OF MD

CITY / COUNTY OF Prince Georges

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2026,  
before me, a Notary Public of the foregoing State and City/County, personally appeared  
Spyridon Marinopolous [Agent for the Respondent] and made oath in due  
form of law that signing the foregoing Consent Order was his/her voluntary act and deed.

AS WITNESSETH my hand and notarial seal.

Teresa Gloekler  
Notary Public

